

## Integrated Resort Development (ASF proposal) questionnaire

### Your feedback

1. The State Government has identified a site on The Spit as a possible location for an Integrated Resort Development (IRD). In your view, what issues, if any, would need to be resolved before an IRD could proceed?

I do not support this, never have, and the Labor government campaigned and were elected on the clear promise to not allow this to happen. There is nothing "Integrated" about this horrid plan - it is a private commercial land grab. If they removed the casino component and stuck to the City Plan height limits it could be considered.

2. If an IRD was to be approved, how should the City of the Gold Coast and the broader community benefit?

(please select as many as appropriate)

- Investment in reducing traffic congestion in the local area
- Improved vehicle and pedestrian access to and from The Spit
- New/improved public transport infrastructure in the local area
- Long-term jobs for Gold Coast locals
- Improved Gold Coast tourism offer (eg new six star hotel, restaurants etc)
- Improved patronage of existing local restaurants and businesses
- Marine infrastructure (eg berthing, water taxi, boat tours)
- New public open spaces on the IRD site and associated public access
- Investment in public open space elsewhere on The Spit
- Investment in environmental improvement and management on The Spit
- Landmark buildings and design
- Other (please specify)

This question has significant leading bias and is clearly not formulated as a genuine impartial survey component. I know for a fact there are NO BENEFITS in this proposal that could come close to offsetting the long-term negative impacts of such reckless planning and development in this sensitive area.

3. Public commentary has identified the height of buildings on the IRD site as a matter of interest. If it enabled larger public spaces and improved public amenity at ground level, would you support high rise buildings on the IRD site?

Yes

No

Why

I support the city plan that is the result of the most extensive public consultation in the Gold Coast's history. Once again this question has incredible leading bias and is not valid. You simply need to ask if people support 3 stories or not - instead you have dressed this question with luring enticements designed to bait people into providing a compromised response. For a consultancy of your standing, this is clearly deliberate and has tainted this survey.

4. Public commentary has identified traffic congestion in the area as a matter of interest. If transport solutions could be implemented, including better parking and access to The Spit, Southport and Main Beach would you support the development of an IRD on The Spit?

Yes

No

Why

Once again this question has incredible leading bias and is not valid. The parking and access to The Spit is totally suitable right now provided you do not taint the area with unsuitable development such as ASF's proposed segregated gambling resort. You simply need to ask if people support the proposal for a highrise gambling and hotel commercial precinct on The Spit or do they not. Instead you have dressed this question with luring enticements designed to bait people into providing a compromised response. For a consultancy of your standing, this is clearly deliberate and has tainted this survey.

5. How aware are you of the concepts in the ASF Consortium's proposal for the IRD site?

I am not aware of the ASF Consortium proposal

I have heard about the ASF Consortium proposal but do not know much of the detail

I have a good understanding of the ASF Consortium proposal

6. Based on your understanding and knowledge of the ASF Consortium proposal for the IRD site, how would you describe your position on the proposal?

Strongly in favour

Tending to be in favour

Neither in favour or opposed

Tending to be opposed

Strongly opposed

Please explain why.

It is a disgusting proposal that is dressed in lies and deception. There are no "solutions" to all of the permanent negative impacts that would come from it. The precedent it would set would be unable to be challenged in the planning courts and it would open the floodgates for more inappropriate infrastructure and development in an area that should be defended and preserved in perpetuity without any question.

7. If you would like to be kept informed of any State Government updates on the IRD project, please nominate your preferred method(s):

Email

Text messages (include your mobile number in the section below if you'd like to receive SMS notifications)

Web updates

Media (eg news stories and/or public advertising)

Mobile number:

(Your number will ONLY be used by us to communicate with you about this project and will not be provided to a third party)

8. Any other comments or advice?

HOW ON EARTH can you run this survey and again NOT ASK IF PEOPLE WANT A CASINO ON THE SPIT OR NOT????!?!?!? This survey is totally biased, partial and incomplete and seeks to undermine the process and favour the developer significantly. The word Casino has once again been mentioned a total of ZERO times. This is a sick joke and fails to meet any kind of recognisable or legitimate standard!

If you would like to keep a copy of your responses, please print now before clicking the 'Done' button below.

◀ Prev

Done ▶